



U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney
Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building
One Saint Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007

November 3, 2022

BY ECF & EMAIL

The Honorable Analisa Torres
United States District Judge
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007
torres_nysdchambers@nysd.uscourts.gov

Re: *United States v. Timothy Shea*, No. S2 20 Cr. 412 (AT)

Dear Judge Torres:

The Government respectfully submits this letter to inform the Court that, on October 29, 2022—after the jury returned a verdict on October 28, 2022—one of the undersigned Assistant United States Attorneys (the “AUSA”) received a communication from an individual whom the Government has learned is the adult child of a juror (“Person-1”).

By way of background, over the past approximately three years, Person-1 and the AUSA have had periodic communications regarding Person-1’s education and career, and in which Person-1 sought career-related advice. Person-1 and the AUSA attended the same college at different times. The AUSA has never met or communicated with Person-1’s mother.

On October 25, 2022, Person-1, who is currently a law student outside of the New York City metropolitan area, emailed the AUSA to provide an update on Person-1’s education and career, and sought career-related advice. Person-1 did not reference this case or Person-1’s mother.

On October 29, 2022, after the trial in this matter was concluded, the AUSA responded to Person-1’s email and, as is relevant here, noted that the AUSA had just finished the trial in this case. That same day, Person-1 responded, in pertinent part, as follows:

My mom was on the jury of your case! She was juror [REDACTED] row. I’ve heard lots about it all week, congratulations on the win! What a funny coincidence. Good thing my mom didn’t make the connection beforehand and have to recuse herself. I know she enjoyed it.^[1]

¹ The Government has redacted the relevant juror’s number and position in the jury box to protect the privacy interests of the juror and requests that the unredacted version of this letter, which will be submitted by email, be filed under seal.

² Nor may the parties engage in any investigation or contact the juror without the Court's permission. The Second Circuit has long recognized that "post-trial questioning of jurors must only be conducted under the strict supervision and control of the court," *United States v. Brasco*, 516 F.2d 816, 819 n.4 (2d Cir. 1975), and "has unambiguously prohibited parties from questioning jurors after the verdict without at least notice to the Court and opposing counsel." *Sattar*, 395 F. Supp. 2d at 75 (citing *United States v. Schwarz*, 283 F.3d 76, 98 (2d Cir. 2002)).

Cc: John C. Meringolo, Esq.
Anjelica B. Cappellino, Esq.
Clara S. Kalhous, Esq.